

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/037,822	0	3/10/1998	SATORU MOTOYAMA	25484.00643	7579	
25224	7590	12/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RSTER, LLP	WILLETT, STEPHAN F			
555 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90013-1024	2141			
				DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/037,822	MOTOYAMA, SATORU				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephan F Willett	2141				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	eptember 2004.					
-		action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 29,33,37,41-47,50 and 52 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 29,33,37,47,50 and 52 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 41-46 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachme	• •		(DTO 440)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2141

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 29, 33, 37, 47, 50 and 52 are allowed. The reasons these claims were allowed is that "unnatural data is data which rapidly changes volume of a musical tone" is removed and then delayed when transmitted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC □ 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The "received first from the external device" and "received musical data is the first received data" is unclear. It is unclear whether the first data is relative to the "external device" or relative to all the data received. This also highlights that clarity could be added so the first data is relative to what other data, i.e. all the data received or a song?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moline et al. with Patent Number 5,883,957 in view of Isozaki with Patent Number 5,999,905.
- Regarding claims 41, 45-46, Moline teaches a quasi-real time or streaming MIDI music 4. playing technique. Moline teaches receiving music data over a public communications line or the Internet, col. 8, lines 7-11, 63-67. Moline teaches judging whether data is specific data, col. 9, lines 43-46. Moline teaches receiving first time information as "MIDI file reader includes two subcomponents ... parser reads events in order from track, each event of course includes event message and elapsed time descriptor", col. 6, lines 44-48, and particularly, an elapsed time descriptor is converted to time stamp, col. 6, lines 58-59 and in more detail, col. 6, lines 51-55. Moline teaches subtracting a predetermined time [time delay] from time information as "the delay time period is added to the server start time", col. 13, lines 10-11 or "the amount of track that must be accumulated before receiver begins playing the track is determined by a delay parameter set by the user of receiver", col. 12, lines 1-3, "delay 617 in Fig. 6", col. 11, line 67. Moline teaches storing means for temporarily storing the data received by said reception means as "MIDI stream generator keeps track of the last event that it output, the amount of time that has actually elapsed since it began playing the track, and the total amount of time specified by the elapsed time indicators in events played thus far", col. 6, lines 26-31, and "the result of this operation is an event, which is then added to stored track in memory" at col. 6, lines 53-54. Moline teaches processing means for starting the processing of the data temporarily stored in said memory when said second time information reaches the first as "output event messages until either an event is reached whose time stamp is greater", and "this incremental addition of parts",

Art Unit: 2141

col. 7, 8, lines 15-16, 4-6, "the delay varies as the preferred embodiment waits to begin [subtracts] playing track until enough of track has accumulated", col. 11, lines 59-64, "beginning at the start of stored track, the time stamp of each event is added to the server start time and subtracted from the play time", col. 13, lines 12-14 and "MIDI stream generator generates MIDI stream from stored track as follows: ... set the timer and wait for it to expire again", col. 7, lines 10-20. Moline teaches specific or first data as "type" of data, col. 9, lines 42-43. Moline teaches "when the browser receives", col. 9, line 42 which means if it is determined that the type of data is "first" or encrypted, or not completely received, Web enabled, or of a certain format, col. 5, lines 51-61 then the data may or may not be delayed. Also, Moline teaches specific or first data as "the music begins with the part contained in the first track to be received", col. 8, lines 1-2. Moline teaches the invention in the above claims except for explicitly teaching a second time, however, Moline waits the said second time until the track is played. In that Moline operates to buffer data for quasi-real time play the artisan would have looked to the computer data streaming arts for details of buffering signals. In that art, Isozaki, a related data buffering system, teaches a chaining of data streams. Isozaki, specifically teaches "a start time", col. 11, lines 2-3. A second time is taught. The motivation to incorporate a stated second time insures that data is generated at the right time. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the computed time as taught in Isozaki into the MIDI player described in the Moline patent because Moline operates with delay times to achieve streaming data and Isozaki suggests that streaming of data can be obtained with a second computed time. Therefore, by the above rational, the above claim(s) are rejected.

1. Regarding claims 42, Moline teaches an absolute time added to said first time as Atime

Art Unit: 2141

stamp contains the sum of the elapsed times in all of the time descriptors from the beginning of [the] track@, col. 6, lines 53-54.

- 2. Regarding claims 43, Moline teaches rectifying or delaying said first time, col. 13, lines 26-27.
- Regarding claims 44, Moline teaches a determiner that calculates the delay time, col. 11, lines 41-44, 62-66, in accord with memory capacity col. 7, lines 1-4, col. 12, lines 64-66 and col. 13, lines 4-6.
- 5. Claims 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moline et al. with Patent Number 5,883,957 in view of Shioda with patent Number 5,430,243.
- Regarding claims 41, 45-46, Moline teaches a quasi-real time or streaming MIDI music playing technique. Moline teaches receiving music data over a public communications line or the Internet, col. 8, lines 7-11, 63-67. Moline teaches judging whether data is specific data, col. 9, lines 43-46. Moline teaches receiving first time information as "MIDI file reader includes two subcomponents ... parser reads events in order from track, each event of course includes event message and elapsed time descriptor", col. 6, lines 44-48, and particularly, an elapsed time descriptor is converted to time stamp, col. 6, lines 58-59 and in more detail, col. 6, lines 51-55. Moline teaches subtracting a predetermined time [time delay] from time information as "the delay time period is added to the server start time", col. 13, lines 10-11 or "the amount of track that must be accumulated before receiver begins playing the track is determined by a delay parameter set by the user of receiver", col. 12, lines 1-3, "delay 617 in Fig. 6", col. 11, line 67. Moline teaches storing means for temporarily storing the data received by said reception means

Art Unit: 2141

as "MIDI stream generator keeps track of the last event that it output, the amount of time that has actually elapsed since it began playing the track, and the total amount of time specified by the elapsed time indicators in events played thus far", col. 6, lines 26-31, and "the result of this operation is an event, which is then added to stored track in memory" at col. 6, lines 53-54. Moline teaches processing means for starting the processing of the data temporarily stored in said memory when said second time information reaches the first as "output event messages until either an event is reached whose time stamp is greater", and "this incremental addition of parts", col. 7, 8, lines 15-16, 4-6, "the delay varies as the preferred embodiment waits to begin [subtracts] playing track until enough of track has accumulated", col. 11, lines 59-64, "beginning at the start of stored track, the time stamp of each event is added to the server start time and subtracted from the play time", col. 13, lines 12-14 and "MIDI stream generator generates MIDI stream from stored track as follows: ... set the timer and wait for it to expire again", col. 7, lines 10-20. Moline teaches specific or first data as "type" of data, col. 9, lines 42-43. Moline teaches "when the browser receives", col. 9, line 42 which means if it is determined that the type of data is "first" or encrypted, or not completely received, Web enabled, or of a certain format, col. 5, lines 51-61 then the data may or may not be delayed. Also, Moline teaches specific or first data as "the music begins with the part contained in the first track to be received", col. 8, lines 1-2. Moline teaches the invention in above claims except for explicitly teaching a second time, however, Moline waits the said second time until the track is played. In that Moline operates to buffer data for quasi-real time play the artisan would have looked to the computer data streaming arts for details of buffering signals. In that art, Shioda, a related data buffering system, teaches a "basic delay time", col. 4, lines 37 in order to delay "a voice and/or musical tone produced by an

Application/Control Number: 09/037,822 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

electronic musical instrument", col. 4, lines 37-38. Shioda specifically teaches that "a basic delay time-calculating routine for calculating a basic delay time based on a timing clock of a MIDI signal is started", col. 4, lines 46-48 and col. 8, lines 28-30. A timing clock and second time is taught that is used to determine delay times. Further, Shioda suggests that "an excellent repeat effect to the performance", col. 1, lines 65-66 will result from applying the delay times. The motivation to incorporate a delay and second time insures that a reference time is used to accurately apply delay times. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the delay and second time as taught in Shioda into the MIDI player described in the Moline patent because Moline operates with delay times to achieve streaming data and Shioda suggests that streaming of data can be obtained with timers and set times. Therefore, by the above rational, the above claim(s) are rejected.

- 7. Regarding claims 42, Moline teaches an absolute time added to said first time as "time stamp contains the sum of the elapsed times in all of the time descriptors from the beginning of [the] track", col. 6, lines 53-54.
- 8. Regarding claims 43, Moline teaches rectifying or delaying said first time, col. 13, lines 26-27.
- 9. Regarding claims 44, Moline teaches a determiner that calculates the delay time, col. 11, lines 41-44, 62-66, in accord with memory capacity col. 7, lines 1-4, col. 12, lines 64-66 and col. 13, lines 4-6.

### Response to Amendment

4. The broad claim language used is interpreted on its face and based on this interpretation

Alt Ollit. 2141

the claims have been rejected.

- 5. The limited structure claimed, without more functional language, reads on the references provided. Thus, Applicant's arguments can not be held as persuasive regarding patentability.
- 6. The applicant presently claims a method of simply adjusting start times to achieve delayed streaming data. It is suggested more detail is claimed into what types of data resulting in streaming data is delayed or not. Thus, Applicant's arguments can not be held as persuasive regarding patentability.
- 10. Applicant suggests this "does not address the second operation, i.e. performing a time adjustment based on whether the data is first or not", Paper filed 9/23/04, Page 11, line 1. Moline teaches specific or first data as "the music begins with the part contained in the first track to be received", col. 8, lines 1-2. The receiver must determine whether a new song is starting to insure a continuous stream. Thus, Applicant's arguments can not be held as persuasive regarding patentability.
- 11. Applicant suggests time adjustment "is performed regardless of whether data is received first or not" and "no time adjustment is necessary after the first received data", Paper filed 9/23/04, Page 11, lines 4-5, 11-12. In applicant's invention, subsequently received data is not delayed. Thus, there must be a difference in meaning of "time adjustment". Time adjustment is a delay. In addition, "set the time" seems to mean change the time of the apparatus since the apparatus surely knew its relative time. However, the delay time is obviously the same as time adjustment and they precisely achieve the same result which is a delayed playing of a song. Thus, Applicant's arguments can not be held as persuasive regarding patentability.

Application/Control Number: 09/037,822 Page 9

Art Unit: 2141

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is disclosed in the Notice of References Cited. A close review of the references is

suggested. The other references cited teach numerous other ways to perform message delays, i.e.

EDI, thus a close review of them is suggested.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephan Willett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3890. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on 571-272-4225. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-0044.

1. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Stephan Willett

Patent Examiner

December 13, 2004

RUPAL DHARIA

"RUISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2141

Page 10